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REVIEWS


Christopher Merrett

In this short but tightly written and concisely argued book, Margreet de Lange puts forward the view that censorship has been a critical factor in modern South African literature. In exploring the process by which authors had to write for both their readers and the censors, de Lange draws attention to variations in literary form; and to the internal workings of the censorship system in the period 1963 to 1985.

While not forgetting security legislation and various types of harassment as forms of censorship, she explains that the Publications Acts of 1963 and 1974 epitomised apartheid ideology which was based on a totalitarian vision of Christianity and reflected the close links between language and political struggle in the history of the Afrikaner. The result was a system that demanded affirmation and rejected individualism, flexibility and idealism. It revolved around a moralistic and conformist view of life and the perceived interests of the average white South African. The infamous section 47 of the 1974 Publications Act listed pornography, blasphemy, ridicule of sections of the community, harm to race relations and threats to state security as reasons for banning. All were interpreted in a narrow fashion that contrived to treat the disenfranchised of South Africa as the enemy within while arguing disingenuously that the Act was about morality rather than maintaining the status quo through political repression. As a consequence writers were caught in the crossfire.

De Lange goes about her task by looking at three types of literature: Afrikaans writing; English writing; and Black writing in English. The collision between the censorship system and Afrikaans literature that had broken out of the laager from the 1960s onwards with the Sestigers created a major stir in literary circles and reverberated in the Afrikaner establishment. It had some far-reaching consequences. The banning of André Brink's *Kennis van die Aand* eventually led to the founding of a samizdat type literature based on subscriptions; as well the introduction of the concept of the ‘likely reader’ and consideration of literary and artistic merit by the censors. Nevertheless, Etienne Leroux’s *Magersfontein*,
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O Magersfontein received the Hertzog Prize while still banned and this created considerable tension within establishment circles. This period of upheaval in the late-1970s led to a more flexible system that remained pre-eminently a political tool but emphasised tactics rather than rigid grand strategy and was to have a profound effect on all types of South African writing.

The censors banned Brink's Kennis ostensibly on religious grounds but in reality for political reasons: the book painted too authentic an account of the experiences of a Coloured South African. By contrast, his 'n Oomblik in die Wind had less immediacy and examined the experiences of a white woman in the eighteenth century. Decontextualising and dislocation were techniques that were successfully used by Afrikaans writers to evade the attentions of the censors. Karel Schoeman's By Fakkellig was set in eighteenth century Ireland which nevertheless had enough obvious parallels, a dominant minority for instance, to raise questions about contemporary South Africa. But this required an imaginative shift that either did not concern or was missed by the censors and it remained unbanned. Louis Krüger also used Ireland in Die Skerpskutter in which the Catholic ghettos of modern Ulster hold obvious comparisons with black townships. It too escaped banning because it was not seen as inciting change in South Africa. John Miles' Donderdag of Woensdag was a picaresque work on South Africa that satirised the evasive strategies used by other authors to avoid censorship. Although a telling analysis of contemporary South Africa, the censors refrained from banning on political grounds but again preferred the religious clause.

As de Lange points out, writers in English were not constrained by a local market but they had been subjected to censorship far longer through the embargoes on imported, foreign published titles. The censors had always been particularly worried about popular paperback editions and using the 1963 Act they showed their concern over the likely size and nature of audiences for South African writing in English. Two of Nadine Gordimer's novels illustrate the attitude of the censors. Burger's Daughter, a work of high realism set in the mid-1970s which reproduced previously banned text, was banned under all five criteria of the Publications Act and described as an 'outspoken furthering of communism'. But the utopian, futuristic A Sport of Nature was untroubled by the censor. In the event, Burger's Daughter was soon unbanned but without the co-operation of the author who bitterly opposed a tactic designed to reflect well on the reformist pretensions of the government and which gave the impression that white writers had been co-opted by the system.

Christopher Hope's exile view of South Africa as a madhouse was illustrated in A Separate Development, a satire about race classification. It provoked a
classic reaction from the censorship bureaucracy. A censorship committee banned it as harmful to race relations and state security on the strength of a few passages; but the Appeal Board recognised the satire, pointed out that it should not be taken too seriously, and unbanned it. Again the work of an English speaking writer had been used as a political football in the conflict taking place within the censorship bureaucracy. At the same time, JM Coetzee's allegorical works about philosophical questions only loosely related to the South African condition escaped the censor's net because they were 'not a blueprint for action or a call to arms' (p108). The problem with this sort of writing was that the literary critics questioned its relevance to contemporary South Africa. Indeed as JM Leighton, a former head of the censorship apparatus, had famously written: 'If you are not allowed to criticise the Government or its agencies, then describe the antics of pigs, as Orwell does in Animal Farm' (quoted on p110).

The third group of writers considered by de Lange comprised blacks writing in English. As she points out, writing in the vernacular was considered a reflection of apartheid's divisiveness and it was in any case tightly controlled by establishment publishers and school boards. Of course, black writers suffered from apartheid in its totality and a literary generation had been censored into oblivion by the banning of individuals, including many who were in exile. Problems also arose with publishers because of the likelihood of banning and the pricing of published work. The censorship reforms of the early-1980s did not benefit black writers, nor were they able to exploit the literary tactics of their white colleagues because literature was almost universally regarded as an arm of the struggle. The censors were extremely nervous of the inevitable directness of black writing and the inspirational effect it was feared to possess. Nevertheless, the authorities did employ the safety valve thesis and, in a spirit of repressive tolerance and paternalism, they unbanned some titles on appeal, although the authors themselves predictably refused to co-operate. It has been argued in some quarters that the emergence of poetry was the black writer's evasive tactic to counter censorship but this is rejected by de Lange. Poetry, she argues, suited the circumstances, was in any case connected to oral tradition and perhaps most importantly was cheaper to publish.

Miriam Tlali's Muriel at Metropolitan and Mutulezi Matshoba's Call Me Not a Man were duly proscribed at the same time as books on similar themes by white writers were unbanned. De Lange highlights the divisiveness of the system by showing how the Publications Appeal Board failed to appeal against the decisions of censorship committees where black writers were concerned. Muriel at Metropolitan was banned on the strength of an isolated passage, an approach to censorship that was supposed to have lapsed. Call Me Not a Man was
proscribed because of one story about prisoners labouring on a white farm that
had already been published in the magazine Staffrider. Again, old methods of
censorship were applied to black writers: the book was ostensibly banned for
‘lack of literary merit’ but the real reason was a desire for political control.
Bannings applied to Staffrider itself suggested that exiled writers were of
particular concern to the authorities. But it was in turn the object of selective
unbannings on the basis of perceived literary merit: the likely readership was
judged to be elitist and the revolutionary potential of its prose was therefore
discounted.

The book closes with a chapter on the censorship system of post-1994 South
Africa, an important contribution because so little has been written about it. De
Lange perceptively argues that much the same structure will survive the
democratic transition with the emphasis upon classification and regulation.
Promoters of the new legislation have tried to give the impression that it is free
from bias but there is little doubt that it may still be used for political purposes.
In the meantime, we live with the anomaly that all existing bannings remain in
place until individual titles are laboriously released one by one, a classic case of
reform from within.

The Muzzled Muse has a comprehensive bibliography and an accurate index
of names. On balance, the most notable success of de Lange’s work has been to
illuminate the inner workings of the South African state censorship system
during the darkest days of apartheid. Her treatment of the literary devices used
to evade censorship is comprehensive but perhaps less well developed. One or
two minor errors mar the text: Belfast’s Catholic neighbourhood is named Falls
Road not Falls Way; South Africa’s securocrats worked with an Internal Security
(not Securities) Act; the notorious Jacobsen’s Index to Objectionable Literature
is not spelt with a k; and the surname Buthelezi is treated to a number of erroneous
spellings which should have been picked up by the indexer. Nevertheless, this is
a highly readable volume which presents the reader with valuable insights into
an important aspect of political repression at the height of South African
authoritarianism.
Review


Jenny Robinson

This book appealed to me at two stages of my engagement with it. On purchasing it, and on reflection after reading it. In between glancing through the essays and then reading each one closely for this review, I was a little frustrated. Perhaps this is because the individual essays are each quite specific and neither a preliminary glance nor reading each essay in turn offered a sense of the thematic coherence of the collection. But having drawn the essays together in my head after reading them all, I was inspired by their coherence and novelty. In their introduction, the editors point to some of the ways in which this coherence emerges around themes of landscape, the role of places in the making of meaning, and the ways in which race, colonial power and gender are played out in the constitution and imagination of specific spaces, borders, boundaries and locations.

One of the truly unusual aspects of the collection is to set together ‘spatial histories’ (to draw on a phrase coined by one of the contributors, Paul Carter) of South Africa and Australia. Although clearly divergent in all sorts of ways, the colonial and settler pasts of these two countries deserve much more in the way of sustained comparative analysis. This collection makes an initial contribution to such a project by juxtaposing histories, experiences, and analyses of both places. Two papers specifically draw together the two contexts, one through historical connections (the paper by Gillian Whitlock on Lady Barker’s writings about both South Africa and Australia during her time spent in each place during her husband’s postings highlights the significance of the different settler-native peoples relations in the two places) and another through analytical contrasts (Terence Ranger allows the historiographies of the Matopos and the Uhuru to speak to one another’s omissions. Liz Gunner attempts a similar although more limited strategy in comparing Aboriginal and Zulu poetry). It is a pity that the collection did not include more papers which were explicitly comparative - or that the editors could not spend a little more time drawing out some comparative insights. But these contributions, and the effect of the collection as a whole in
juxtaposing writings from these different places, should help to inspire a growing interest in comparative studies within southern African studies.

As the title suggests, it is the linking of post-colonial literary studies to an awareness of 'space' which distinguishes this collection. I found much here to be intrigued by, although, to use the geographer Doreen Massey’s phrase, the authors have not yet shown how it is that ‘space matters’.

The essays cover a range of different types of spaces: tables and ships, frontiers and borders, nations and mountains, homelands and explorations. Introducing the idea of space, the editors discuss Simon Schama’s *Landscape and Memory* and Paul Carter’s *Road to Botany Bay*. As with the essays in this collection, these books bring the social production of landscapes into view, and show how these produced spaces work in some way to constitute histories. But, again in the same ways as these essays, both of these authors avoid programmatic assessments of their ‘space’ which they put into question. It would have been interesting to consider how it is that a spatial imagination, an attentiveness to spatialities, might shift the kinds of histories we write. Does it make a difference to pay particular attention to ‘space’, and how? Why should attention to the ship on which settlers made their journey to the other end of the earth, for example, help us to tell different stories about this trip? In her article, ‘The voyage south: writing immigration’, Kerryn Goldsworthy suggests that shipboard space, in its spatial divisions both mirrored and re-shaped the social hierarchies which characterised Emigrant society. The lack of privacy on board, she argues, also contributed to the disintegration of identities which partly enabled the creation of new social relations, in a new environment. Paul Carter’s chapter interrogates the space of the ‘table’ - from the table of post-colonial negotiations, to the two sides of the heavy Victorian drawing-room tables. He figures these as never passive, changing spaces: from the daylight space of display to the night-time space of study, from the conscious functional surface to the moving unconscious space, and the moving table of the spirit-world’s communications through spirit-medium sessions. Reflecting on the multiplicity of this micro-space, he argues, sheds light on the dream-worlds of colonisation (suggested in his piece by the popularity of spirit-mediums) and the place of colonisers’ fantasies, at home and abroad, in shaping history. In more contemporary terms, the mobility of the space of the table also destabilises its resonance as an image as representing a level playing field of negotiations: ‘Off the ground, it reminds us that the ground is not given’ (p 33).

Several of the papers explored the interesting connections between identities and spaces - colonial identities constituted through imagining landscapes as empty, through particular encounters with colonised peoples, through particular
memories of places or displacement. Abner Nyamende addresses African femininity in a discussion of rural/urban identifications in 'The Marabi Dance'. Dorothy Driver shifts the connections between space and identity into a somewhat different register as she thinks about the more metaphorical 'space' of African femininity in Drum magazine. Part of her argument is that negotiating rural/urban spaces involves negotiating different masculinities and femininities. She suggests that through Drum's articulation of a different form of femininity, which, like female jazz singers, was 'active rather than passive, wild rather than tame, promiscuous rather than domestic, black rather than white in dialogue (sometimes) with male sexuality rather than subordinated and owned' (p239) - a different 'space' was opened up for a while: neither urban nor rural, modern or traditional, but a space of possibility for a new relation between men and women.

This collection then explores the ways in which social relations are articulated through spaces, and begins to point to the ways in which spaces are differently constituted through different social imaginations - that same 'space' can have many different meanings, even as spaces (from home towns, to nations, to nature) can be delimited and used to try and fix identities and meanings for different political projects. What would be the effectiveness of pursuing this interest in space? How could a spatial sensitivity alter our historical interpretations? This text doesn't answer these questions for us, but it certainly indicates that there will be some value in pursuing this path of enquiry further.